

The U.S. says it's concerned that IRAN has FORCES OPERATING OUTSIDE THEIR OWN BORDERS, threatening Middle East stability and has the potential for massive destructive force.

U.S. politicians argue we can't withdraw troops from Iraq because of the "threat of Iran" in the Middle East and that Americans should be worried about "Iranian forces operating in Iraq."

The U.S. would consider it an "ACT OF AGGRESSION" if Iran attacks "our forces" in Iraq.

BUT WAIT....



An Iraqi girl, U.S. Army troops in Tal Kaeef, Iraq, November 11, 2008.

Photo: AP

Some *other country* is treating the whole Middle East as its "own backyard"—completely ignoring the sovereign borders of countries, stationing huge battleships in international waters and occupying several countries in the region with tens of thousands of soldiers.

It is the United States that has FORCES OPERATING OUTSIDE THEIR OWN BORDERS, threatening people in the Middle East—using massive destructive force and carrying out daily "ACTS OF AGGRESSION," launching raids not only in Iraq, but Afghanistan and Pakistan—and threatening Iran with the possible use of nuclear weapons. The result of this has been death, destruction, forced migration and the suffering of millions of people.

The masses of people who live in the United States have no interest at all in the so-called U.S. "war on terror"—which is really a war for empire.

This is not "our war," "our troops," "our oil," "our borders" or "our national security."

**STOP THINKING LIKE AN AMERICAN!
START THINKING ABOUT HUMANITY!!!**

Who says the U.S. has the right to control the destiny of whole countries?

Who says the U.S. has the right to control the oil?

Who says "our troops should be" invading and occupying sovereign countries?